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ABSTRACT

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been declared as an international public health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO). ACE-2 receptors are highly expressed in the upper and lower gastrointestinal system, providing a prerequisite for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the gastrointestinal tract. The aim of this systematic review is to summarize literature and to evaluate the clinical characteristics of patients with positive viral RNA stool test for COVID-19 and if there is a possibility of fecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Method: This systematic review has been registered in PROSPERO (CRD42020183049). A systematic search from PubMed central and Google Scholar through May 5th, 2020. Observational and clinical trial were included. Systematic reviews and case reports were excluded. Three reviewers independently searched and selected. The risk of bias was evaluated using Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment tool.

Results: 340 articles were screened, then from which eight articles were selected. Diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, gastrointestinal bleeding reported as the common symptoms and signs of gastrointestinal involvements. Prolongation of positive stool test results after the respiratory specimen conversion to negative was reported, which support the theory of fecal oral transmission. A positive stool test can be found on COVID-19 patients with any symptoms.

Conclusion: In conclusion, diarrhea, cough, and fever are the most common clinical manifestations in COVID-19 patients with positive RNA stool test results. Fecal oral transmission may be possible due to the ACE-2 receptors in the lining of the gastrointestinal tract. RNA stool test should be used as addition in discharging COVID-19 patients.
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ABSTRAK

sistemakan ini adalah untuk evaluasi karakteristik klinis pasien dengan tes feses RNA positif untuk COVID-19 dan kemungkinan adanya transmisi fekal-oral.


**Kata kunci:** COVID-19, SARC-CoV-2, test feses viral RNA, transmisi fecal oral

**INTRODUCTION**

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been declared as an international public health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO), with outbreaks in over 200 countries and causing over 390,000 deaths globally. COVID-19 most commonly known for its respiratory symptoms, but there is evidence that the illness can also present with digestive symptoms such as diarrhea, diminished appetite, and nausea. It has been proven that SARS-CoV-2, the virus that caused COVID-19, uses angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) 2 receptor for viral entry.

Meanwhile, ACE-2 receptor is highly expressed in the upper and lower gastrointestinal system, providing a prerequisite for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the gastrointestinal tract. In addition, over half of the COVID-19 patients have viral nucleic acid detected in their feces and in almost one-quarter of the cases, the stool samples test positive even when respiratory samples are negative.

The aim of this systematic review is to summarize literatures and to evaluate the clinical characteristics of patients with positive viral RNA stool test for COVID-19 and if there is a possibility of fecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus.

**METHOD**

This systematic review is registered at PROSPERO (International database of prospectively registered systematic review) with registration number CRD42020183049.

A literature searching was performed on electronic databases, including PubMed Central (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/?term=COVID-19+AND+Clinical+characteristics+AND+Viral+RNA+stool+test+AND+Fecal+oral+transmission) and Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.co.id/scholar?hl=id&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=COVID-19+AND+Clinical+characteristics+AND+Viral+RNA+stool+test+AND+Fecal+oral+transmission). A literature searching was conducted on May 5, 2020 using keywords listed in Table 1. Results obtained from the database corresponded to clinical questions using the Boolean system presented in Table 1.

The literature searching process was continued using literature searching limits and relevant titles and abstracts were selected from each database. We searched based on PICO criteria, population, intervention, comparison, and outcome. Studies were included if met following inclusion criteria: P = COVID-19 patients; I = COVID-19 symptoms and a
positive SARS-CoV-2 RNA in stool test; C = negative SARS-CoV-2 RNA in stool test; O = COVID-19 characteristics. Observational studies and clinical trials were included. All systematic reviews, commentaries, perspectives, guidelines, case reports, and case series studies were excluded.

Three independent reviewers (CEJ, FIT, and SN) selected the articles, extracted data, and conducted analysis. Any discernment between reviewers was resolved after discussion with the fourth and fifth reviewers (LA and AK). Titles and abstracts for all studies identified through PRISMA search strategy were evaluated by the reviewers. Full texts were evaluated to further determined inclusion and exclusion criteria in chosen text. References in excluded articles were examined to identify studies that may not have been identified through the primary search strategy. Articles were re-evaluated to identify studies that may not been screened through primary strategy. The search was limited to English. A list of potential studies for inclusion in the systematic review was generated through the process.

We reviewed eligible studies and extracted data including details regarding authors, country of study population, demographic data of the patients, clinical characteristics or symptoms present in the study population, and description of outcomes. Data were also extracted data regarding the COVID-19 laboratory results (polymerase chain reaction swabs and viral RNA stool test) and the conclusion of each study about the possibility of fecal-oral transmission.

Multiple article checks were performed on the two databases. The appropriate study was read in full paper and appraised. A critical appraisal was made based on the Newcastle Ottawa for Evidence-Based Medicine which assesses the validity, importance, and applicability of each article. A flow diagram describing the study selection process is shown in Figure 1.

Primary outcomes included the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients with positive viral RNA stool test results (using the nucleic acid amplification test (RT-PCR)), and for which the classification variables have been summarized as counts and percentages for each category. Secondary outcomes included the possibility of fecal oral transmission of COVID-19, demographic characteristics of the study population and the duration of stool test result.

The Newcastle-Ottawa Quality assessment of observational trials was used to measure the risk of bias in this systematic review. Two independent researchers (LA and SN) assessed the studies. Methodological quality and the standard of outcome reporting in each
study were assessed thoroughly. Disagreements were solved by consensus and if no consensus existed, the opinions of the third and fourth reviewer (CEJ and FIT) were sought.

RESULTS

A total of 340 articles were identified through searching strategy. Figure 1 shows the resultant PRISMA diagram (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis) used. After duplicates were removed, the two primary reviewers (CEJ and FIT) screened titles and abstracts through a total of 300 articles. There were 13 full texts reviewed for eligibility after the initial screen. Most articles were excluded because they did not include information on outcomes selected for our review or not a type of study that we included. Ultimately, eight articles were selected \(^{10,12,13-18}\) with a total of 364 patients.

The main characteristics of the eight studies included in the review (study types, clinical characteristics of the patients, results of the viral RNA stool test, study conclusion regarding fecal oral transmission) are summarized in Table 2. Among the eight included studies, five of them had retrospective cohort design, while three of the rest had prospective cohort design. All of the studies that included in this systematic review described the method and condition they used for patient selection. No randomization method was used in these studies. Study populations were confirmed to have the diagnosis of COVID-19 by using RT-PCR from the nasopharyngeal specimen. In one study, only 22 patients, amongst 206 study populations, were tested for viral nucleic acid in their stool.\(^{12}\) For the rest of the study, all of the COVID-19 patients were tested for viral nucleic acid in their stool. The comorbidities in the study population were clearly described in three studies, while another did not describe the comorbidities.\(^{10,13,14}\)

Authors of the eight studies gave their interpretation and conclusion about the possibility of fecal oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus, yet none of them did any further research about this particular topic.\(^{10,12,13-18}\)

All eight included studies \(^{10,12,13-18}\) involved patients aged 2 months - 92 years old with confirmed positive SARS-CoV-2 infection by RT-PCR from respiratory tract samples either from nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs. The study by Han et al., only included patients with mild disease severity, defined as symptoms without dyspnea, without clinical evidence of respiratory distress and were able to maintain blood oxygen saturation above 93% in resting condition.\(^{12}\) Meanwhile, the other studies included all patients without restriction regarding the severity of their study population. Study by Xu et al and Xing et al specified their study population to only pediatric patients (Table 2). Beside that, all of the studies had available viral RNA stool test results using RT-PCR from fecal specimen.\(^{10,12,13-18}\)

From all included studies, we tried to group the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients with positive RNA stool test results stated by each study into three general categories. They are digestive symptoms, respiratory symptoms, and other symptoms. Three out of eight studies had a different approach or some limitation in order to follow our method in grouping the clinical characteristics of the study population.\(^{10,12,17}\) One study by Xu et al, did not describe the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients with positive stool test result.\(^{17}\) Meanwhile, study performed by Han et al, did not mention the specific symptoms the patients had.\(^{12}\) The study by Xiao et al. also did not mention each of the respiratory symptoms.\(^{10}\)

In the digestive category, five studies stated diarrhea as one of the common symptoms. Each study has found a variable number of patients and percentage for the cases of diarrhea, i.e. 21.43 - 80%.\(^{10,13,14,16,18}\) Abdominal pain was found in the study population of three studies, the percentage for each study is 20%, 10.71%, 33.4%, respectively.\(^{13,14,18}\)

Other digestive symptoms such as nausea, was stated by two studies as the clinical manifestations of COVID-19 patients. In the study by Lo et al, 50% of the study's population had this symptom.\(^{13}\) Contrary to it, the other study by Chen et al wrote that nausea was only found in 7.14% from their study population.\(^{14}\) Around 10.27% patients in the study by Xiao et al had gastrointestinal bleeding as one of the SARS-CoV-2 gastrointestinal manifestation.\(^{10}\) Meanwhile, vomiting was only found in 3.57% patients from the study performed by Chen et al.\(^{14}\)

In the respiratory category, the majority of included studies (five out of eight) stated that cough is a common clinical characteristic. The percentages of cases are 50%, 57.14%, 50%, 80%, and 33.4% patients in study by Lo et al, Chen et al, Xu et al, Li et al, and Xing et al. respectively.\(^{13,15,16}\) Moreover, three studies found sore throat in 50%, 25%, and 20% for study by Lo et al., Xu et al., and Li et al., respectively.\(^{13,15,16}\) Dyspnea was reported in 50% patients by Lo et al. and 25% patients by Chen et al.\(^{13,14}\) Other respiratory manifestations
Table 2. Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Study types</th>
<th>Age median (IQR) / range</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Viral stool test positive (%), negative (%)</th>
<th>Clinical Characteristics in patients with positive stool result (%)</th>
<th>Duration of RNA stool test positive (mean / range)</th>
<th>Fecal-oral transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Han et al</td>
<td>206 patients, 22 of them tested for viral RNA stool test</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>44 (28.5)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (41%), 13 (59%)</td>
<td>Digestive only: 3 (25%), Respiratory &amp; digestive: 8 (66.6%), Respiratory: 1 (8.33%), Fever: 9 (75%)</td>
<td>44.2 days</td>
<td>Patients with digestive symptoms are more likely to test positive for viral RNA in stool and to have a longer delay before viral clearance. Suggesting direct infectivity of the virus on the intestinal tract. This study recommends routine real-time rtPCR testing of feces in patients with COVID-19, especially those presenting with digestive symptoms. Provide evidence for gastrointestinal infection of SARS-CoV-2 and its possible fecal-oral transmission route. The continuous positive detection of the viral RNA from feces suggests that the infectious virions are secreted from the virus-infected gastrointestinal cells. Therefore, fecal-oral transmission could be an additional route for viral spread.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao et al</td>
<td>73 infected hospitalized</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>(0.83-78)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25 (64.1%), 14 (35.9%)</td>
<td>Diarrhea 17 (43.59%), GI Bleeding 4(10.27%), Respiratory symptoms 30 (76.92%)</td>
<td>1-12 days</td>
<td>Digestive system is a potential route of infection. In order to minimize the risk of fecal oral transmission, fecal nucleic acid test should be recommended as the reference of discharge standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo et al</td>
<td>10 patients</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>54 (37)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3 (30%), 7 (70%)</td>
<td>Fever 8 (80%), diarrhea 8 (80%), cough 5 (50%), dyspnea 5 (50%), sore throat 5 (50%), myalgia 3 (30%), rhinorrhea 2 (20%), nasal congestion 2 (20%), dizziness 2 (20%), and abdominal pain 2 (20%)</td>
<td>19.3 days</td>
<td>Fecal oral transmission as the potential route of infection deserves further evaluation. However, assessment of both fecal and respiratory specimen is recommended to enhance diagnostic sensitivity and also to aid discharge decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al</td>
<td>7 patients</td>
<td>Retrospective Cohort</td>
<td>(20-60)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3 (42.9%), 4 (57.1%)</td>
<td>Fever 3 (42.9%), nasal obstruction 4 (57.1%), catarrh 1 (14.3%), rhinobyon 2 (28.6%), productive cough 2 (28.6%), dry cough 5 (71.4%), chest distress 3 (42.9%), polypnea 2 (25.6%), limb weakness 6 (85.7%), diarrhea 3 (42.9%), and stomatchache 2 (28.6)%*</td>
<td>1-21 days</td>
<td>Suggested the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients, and more importantly, viral shedding from feces might remain for a long time after negative conversion in pharyngeal swabs, suggesting that fecal oral transmission may serve as an alternative infection route for SARS-CoV-2. More attention should be paid to hand hygiene and the disinfection of patients’ vomitus and feces, as well as the sewage of hospitals and residences of living COVID-19 patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al</td>
<td>42 patients</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>51 (42.75)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15 (35.71%), 27 (64.29%)</td>
<td>Fever 25 (89.29%); dry cough 16 (57.14%); Fatigue 16 (57.14%); Myalgia 7 (25%) dyspnea 7(25%), sputum production 5(17.86%); pharyngalgia 5 (17.86%); headache or dizziness 4(14.29%); diarrhea 6 (21.43%), abdominal pain 3(10.71%); nausea 2 (7.14%); Vomiting 1(3.57%)</td>
<td>After (-) conversion in pharyngeal swabs, 7 (6: 10) days</td>
<td>Suggested the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients, and more importantly, viral shedding from feces might remain for a long time after negative conversion in pharyngeal swabs, suggesting that fecal oral transmission may serve as an alternative infection route for SARS-CoV-2. More attention should be paid to hand hygiene and the disinfection of patients’ vomitus and feces, as well as the sewage of hospitals and residences of living COVID-19 patients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Study types</th>
<th>Age (median (IQR) / range)</th>
<th>Gender Male (%) Female (%)</th>
<th>Viral stool test positive (%), negative (%)</th>
<th>Clinical Characteristics in patients with positive stool result (%)</th>
<th>Duration of RNA stool test positive (mean / range)</th>
<th>Fecal-oral transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al</td>
<td>10 pediatric patients</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort</td>
<td>6.64 (11.23)</td>
<td>6(60%) 4(40%)</td>
<td>8 (80%), 2 (20%)</td>
<td>Fever 7 (87.5%); diarrhea 3 (37.5%); cough 4 (50%); sore throat 2 (25%)</td>
<td>6-28 days</td>
<td>Positive real-time RT–PCR results in rectal swabs were observed in eight out of ten pediatric patients, who remained detectable after nasopharyngeal swabs turned negative, suggesting that the gastrointestinal tract may shed virus and fecal–oral transmission may be possible. These findings also suggest that rectal swab-testing may be more useful than nasopharyngeal swab-testing in judging the effectiveness of treatment and determining the timing of termination of quarantine. Author suggested that faecal virus nucleic acid should be tested as routine monitoring index in daily diagnosis and follow up COVID 19 patients and whether to increase the faecal nucleic acid test negative for 2 consecutive times in the discharge criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al</td>
<td>13 patients</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort</td>
<td>44.30 (22.83)</td>
<td>6 (46.15%)</td>
<td>7 (53.85%) 5 (38%) 8 (62%)</td>
<td>Fever 4 (80%); fatigue 1 (20%); Sore throat 1 (20%); Cough 4 (80%)</td>
<td>After (-) conversion in sputum PCR, 14.5 days</td>
<td>Highly suggests that faecal-oral transmission may be another potential route for SARS-CoV2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xing et al</td>
<td>3 pediatric patients</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort</td>
<td>5 (2.25)</td>
<td>2 (66.6%) 1 (33.4%)</td>
<td>3 (100%), 0 (0%)</td>
<td>Fever 3 (100%), diarrhea 1 (33.4%), stomachache 1 (33.4%), rhinorrhea 1 (33.4%)</td>
<td>6-30 days</td>
<td>The viral load of COVID-19 in the gastrointestinal tract might be greater and last longer than that in the respiratory system. Fecal testing should be considered as one of the routine diagnostic tests to guide decision-making on hospital discharge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* clinical manifestations in patients with viral RNA stool test not specified result
including flu-like symptoms (nasal congestion, rhinorrhea), sputum production and pain in pharyngeal were also reported by some of the studies (Table 2).

For other symptoms that are not classified in the digestive and respiratory category, they will be included into others category. Fever was reported in six studies, each of them point out that, 50 percent or more of their study population, had this symptom, i.e Han et al (75%), Lo et al (80%), Chen et al (89.29%), Xu et al (87.5%), Li et al (80%), and Xing et al (100%).12-16,18 Study by Chen et al also mention other symptoms such as myalgia, fatigue, dizziness, and etc. (Table 2)14

Authors from included studies interpret their findings to give a general representation of fecal oral transmission possibility. All eight studies show prolonged positive RNA stool test results even though nasal swabs were tested negative. Two studies stated that prolonged positive RNA stool test results represent viral shedding in gastrointestinal cells, meaning COVID-19 target receptors were also present in gastrointestinal system.10,12 In five of the included studies it is proven that 23.29 - 80% of the study population still have SARS-CoV-2 RNA detected in their fecal specimens, even after they were declared cured from COVID-19 (two negative respiratory swab test results in 24 hour span).10,12,14,16,18

Furthermore, six out of eight studies stated that it is recommended to do viral RNA stool test before discharging the patients or determining termination of the quarantine.12,13,15-18

Studies by Xiao et al and Xu et al used range in order to describe their study population ages.10,17 Meanwhile, the rest of the study used median (IQR) in order to describe their study population. The youngest median age to be included in this study is 2 months old, while the oldest is 78 years old.10,16 In four studies male is more affected than female, and in the other four studies, female is the dominant population who are affected.10,12,18

Two studies described the duration of prolonged positive stool test results after two times negative respiratory swab test results.14,15 Study by Chen et al have stated that a seven (six to ten) days median (IQR) duration time of positive viral RNA in feces.14 On the other hand, study by Li et al described the mean duration of viral conversion in two patients was 14.5 days.15 Meanwhile, the other four studies described the symptoms duration in the range of one to 30 days from the onset until the resolution.10,16-18 The study by Han et al and Lo et al described the duration using the mean, 44.2 days and 19.3 days, respectively.10,13

Table 3 summarizes the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality (NOQ) assessment of observational trial results for studies included in the review. Out of eight studies assessed, were rated “good” quality.10,12,13,15,17,18 GRADE analysis was not done because this study does not continue to a meta-analysis.

### DISCUSSION

In daily clinical practice, the most common phrase heard worldwide is COVID-19 or known as 2019 Novel Coronavirus. Unlike SARS and MERS, COVID-19 mainly affects the respiratory tract as well as the gastrointestinal system causing diarrhea and abdominal pain.19 Even though droplets are the main human-to-human mechanism of transmission of COVID-19, fecal shedding with environmental contamination may also play an important role in viral spread.20

In this systematic review, diarrhea shows as the most common gastrointestinal complaint in COVID-19 patients with positive RNA stool test, but not all of the patients have diarrhea, as shown by the percentages. This finding suggested that diarrhea may appear as a common complaint in COVID-19 patients, but not always indicating the presence of viral RNA in the feces. Other gastrointestinal complaints such as abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and gastrointestinal bleeding were also found in our study but in a lesser percentage. The gastrointestinal symptoms in positive RNA stool test patients were similar to COVID-19 patients diagnosed by respiratory swab. Observations to date indicate that 2–35% COVID-19 patients diagnosed by respiratory swab have experienced some gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms, such as diarrhea,
abdominal discomfort, gastrointestinal bleeding, nausea, and vomiting, although these symptoms are much less prevalent than respiratory involvement. \(^{21,22}\)

Respiratory involvement in patients whose stool tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, was mostly dominated by cough where four studies show above 50% of their population have cough. Other respiratory symptoms such as dyspnea and sore throat were also commonly complained. Considering that our study subjects are basically COVID-19 patients diagnosed by RT-PCR via the respiratory specimens, we can conclude that the respiratory symptoms may not be related with the stool test results. Besides, we also found that fever was still a major complaint (>75%) in patients with positive RNA stool tests, as reported in six studies. Moreover, study by Cipriano et al. confirmed that almost all patients had fever as the initial symptoms. \(^{23}\) Fever was also the most common symptom in COVID-19 patient with positive RT-PCR in respiratory samples. \(^{24}\)

Present study has identified the finding of positive stool test duration with or without respiratory SARS-CoV-2 test conversion. The Possibility of COVID-19 to be transmitted by fecal oral route may be similar to its predecessor, SARS. According to Li et al. a few years back in China there is evidence of fecal oral transmission for SARS where a community infection occurs from an infected person using their families’ toilet. \(^{15}\) Another evidence shown from the previous outbreak of SARS, where workers and cleaners in a hospital get infected without direct contact with the patients. \(^{25}\) Current findings of stool test positive for SARS-CoV-2 supports the evidence of ACE-2 receptor inside the gastrointestinal system. \(^{10}\) A study from Xiao et al. using immunohistologic and immunofluorescent staining of gastrointestinal tissues shows that SARS-CoV-2 expressed abundantly in the glandular cells of gastric, duodenal, and rectal epithelial, supporting current theory about ACE-2 receptor as cell entry mediator in COVID-19. This might be considered as one of the pathophysiology of positive viral shedding in feces. However, it needs further investigation and evidence to determine fecal oral transmission especially. \(^{10}\) Other study also reported that another factor for instance, gut microbiota has been shown to affect the ACE-2. \(^{26}\)

Regarding the duration of stool test results, in this systematic review there are two studies who described prolonged positive RNA stool test after negative swab results from respiratory specimens. \(^{14,15}\) Viral RNA stool samples might still be positive despite a negative respiratory swab result. A study by Cai et al further reported that some patients who had recovered from the illness or had been asymptomatic, still tested positive for viral RNA in their stool. \(^{27}\) A study by Wu et al. said that on average, the fecal samples remained positive for approximately 11 days longer than respiratory samples. \(^{28}\)

All eight studies reviewed were graded good, although studies conducted by Lo et al and Xing et al had no population with negative SARS-CoV-2 RNA in their stool as a comparison (Table 3). \(^{10,12-18}\) Sample size of all included studies were small, ranging from three to 73 patients with tested viral RNA stool, which is likely attributed to late findings or lack of awareness about the role of viral RNA stool test in COVID-19. The retrospective cohort study by Xu et al described clinical manifestations in COVID-19 patients without being specified for patient with positive RNA stool test. \(^{17}\) Sample groups from all of the eight studies mostly gathered from single centers, each with their own treatment algorithms and protocols.

This systematic review has some limitations. First, as it is only the early stages of a new pandemic, there is only a limited amount of studies that have been completed and can be analyzed for our systematic review. More studies are still underway and must be included for a more complete review in the future. Second, we were unable to perform correlations between the presence of fecal viral RNA with the severity of each symptom because this systematic review is mostly reviewing descriptive studies, which described the findings and phenomenon based only on counts and percentages. Third, the sample size for either exposure or control group were not large enough. Finally, this study does not directly confirm that viral particles found in the stool are infectious and capable of disease transmission. We only gather and provide some evidence to support the possibility of fecal oral transmission, future studies should focus more on reactive viral replication and viral viability to confirm whether COVID-19 is possible to spread via fecal oral route.

Findings of positive stool test benefits in several ways. First, hospitals may put more attention to the disinfection of patients’ vomit and feces, as well as the sewage of hospitals and residences of living COVID-19 patients. \(^{14}\) Second, studies also found that viral RNA in stool was still positive in children even though nasal swabs have been negative. This may indicate that improving hand hygiene in kindergarten will reduce the chance of fecal oral transmission in communities. \(^{10,18}\) Third, stool tests should be used as another way to confirm the duration of self quarantine.
in case a person got infected, improving discharge criteria, and breaking the chain of infection. Study by Xu et al. stated that rectal swab-testing may be more useful than nasopharyngeal swab-testing in judging the effectiveness of treatment.\(^6\) Lastly, worker and cleaner should be supplied by adequate protection, to further prevent chances of fecal oral transmission.\(^13\)

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, diarrhea, cough, and fever are the most common clinical manifestations in COVID-19 patients with positive RNA stool test results. In this case we must emphasize that a positive stool test can be found on COVID-19 patients with any symptoms. Fecal oral transmission may be possible due to ACE-2 receptors in the lining of the gastrointestinal tract. Furthermore, the fact that positive result of viral RNA in stool might be prolonged, even after negative RT-PCR conversion from the respiratory specimen, supporting this theory. So, we recommend using a routine RNA stool test as one of the indicators for discharging a COVID-19 patient.
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